Delivering the Goods: The Freight Needs of a Growing Population : NYC Issues

Robert E Paaswell City College of New York Anne Morris Baruch College June 2007

Framing the Issues

- Scale
- Measurement
- Examples
- Approaching Solutions

The Core and Adjacent Areas



Lower Manhattan is a small part of a regional network



University Transportation Research Center Center for Logistics and Transportation

June 2007

Yet a critical element in Regional growth strategies



The Core – NYC below 60th St

- 3.5 million entries
- 1.8 million jobs
- 2 million enter by subway
- 180,000 enter by commuter rail
- 260,000 enter by bus
- 1.1 million enter by motor vehicle
- 800,000 motor vehicles

•Source – NYMTC –Hub Bound Travel 2004 *Center for Logistics and Transportation*

TRANSPORTATION – SCALE

- Transportation orders of magnitude
 - Regional transit ridership: 8.5 million trips/day
 - **Roads 37,000 miles**
 - Roads 240 million VMT per day
 - Freight total through region, 475 million tons/year
 - **Freight within region 170 million tons/year**

New York City – the Core – *The Economic Engine*

- The Core NYC below 60th St
- 3.5 million weekday entries
- 1.8 million jobs
- 2 million enter by subway
- 180,000 enter by commuter rail
- 260,000 enter by bus
- 1.1 million enter by motor vehicle
- 800,000 motor vehicles



Urban Freight



In a typical urban area

- Intra-regional trips represent the vast majority of truck trips (70-80%)
- Inter-regional trips (with origin or destination in metro area) represent 20-25% of truck trips
- Thru trips (traversing metro area en route to other destinations): 1-3%
- Not all truck trips are transporting cargoes, maybe as much as 20% are service related trips
- 20-30% are empty trips
- Load factors are low: about 15%-20% of capacity
- Source: Jose Holguin-Veras

A Coast to Coast Crisis that is exacerbated in Lower Manhattan



Economic Boom in Lower Manhattan has exponentially increased demand for space.



University Transportation

Barriers Particular to The Core and Lower Manhattan

- Off -loading facilities in commercial buildings inadequate
- Loading docks start closing at 5 PM
- Security systems/ procedures heightened
- Large numbers of Free Parking Permits issued to city employees monopolize curb/off-load space
- Continuous construction clogging streets

University Transportation Research Center Center for Logistics and Transportation

IMPACTS

- Increased dwell times on streets and in buildings
- Increased on street congestion
- Increased emissions
- Increased cost of delivery



June 2007







I-78 from Jersey City drops trucks directly onto Canal Street from the Verrazano Narrows Bridge-toll free

Transportation and Access: What is needed:



- New West Side access To Midtown
- Redesign of West Street
- Redesign of Canal Street
- Design of LM Pedestrian Pathways
- Freight Strategy
- Auto Restrictions
- Bus Routes (BRT)
- Parking Strategies (especially for tourist buses)
- Local Circulators and Vans
- Coherent System of Ferries/Use of our Waterways

Approaching solutions

- Regulation
- Pricing
- Capital Investments
- Innovation
- Understanding benefits and costs

Actions to minimize truck generated traffic disruptions

Private sector

- Voluntary standards for loading docks/freight elevators
- Off peak deliveries
- Public Sector
 - Bridge/tunnel pricing
 - New parking strategies for commercial vehicles, and other vehicles
 - Congestion pricing
 - Coordinated street rehab management

Why congestion pricing in NYC

- KEEP THE COSTS OF DOING BUSINESS IN NYC REASONABLE
- Multiple objectives what are the weights
 - Pure congestion relief, to be realized by higher LOS, or greater average speeds
 - Air quality
 - Energy savings
 - Improved access for higher priority vehicles (goods)
 - Improved amenities for non motorized means of transport
 - Income from pricing

Truck Toll Elasticities MTA B&T Facilities

- Less than 2% decline in traffic for 10% increase in tolls over MTA B&T facilities.
- Brooklyn Battery Tunnel and Queens Midtown Tunnel have highest elasticities – especially for large trucks – as there are free alternatives.

 Source: "Optimal Toll Strategies for the TBTA", UTRC, 1992, C. McKnight et.al.

Provide tax incentives to receivers willing to accept deliveries during off-hours

- The data show that this could generate a shift of 15-25% of truck traffic to the off-hours (several times the shift induced by the 2001 toll increases)
- Politically attractive/economically sound because:
 - It targets the key decision makers
 - It will improve economic competitiveness
 - □ It will reduce urban congestion
 - It will increase sustainability
 - The carriers will support it (28% cost savings if all operations are switched to off-hours)
- See NYSDOT "Potential for Off-Peak Deliveries" report
- Source: Jose Holguin-Veras

City Logistic Schemes

- Joint Delivery Service (JDS): A group of carriers form a neutral company that is in charge of making the last leg of deliveries. This:
 - Increases truck utilization (remember the 15% load factor?)
 - Reduces truck trips
 - Already in use in some Japanese and German cities



a) Current condition

b) With JDS doing the last leg of deliveries

Conclusions

- Trucks are a way of life for New Yorkers for businesses and for personal deliveries.
- The time has come to develop goods movement and delivery policies that will meet explicit growth and sustainability objectives – this addresses trucks, rail, and their supporting infrastructure – up through the last mile!
- It will take a combination of new institutional capability, partnering with private interests, technological investments, regulations and leadership to have a rational policy evolve.